

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,193	08/27/2001	Mark Harmon	10012513-1	4918
7590 12/17/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			ZHEN, WEI Y	
Intellectual Property Administration			Т	
P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2122	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004	 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/940,193	HARMON, MARK				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wei Y Zhen	2122				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica- tiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by y received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, rion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6 y statute, cause the application to become the statute.	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ R	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 August 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ T	nis action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	n of Claims			-			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	n Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
	f References Cited (PTO-892)		view Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of 3) Information	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- ntion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ o(s)/Mail Date	48) Pape SB/08) 5) Notice	er No(s)/Mail Date´. ce of Informal Patent Application (PTo r:	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2122

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 8/17/2004.

2. Claims 10-11, 17 and 20 remain finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

3. Claims 1-20 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "Smart

Internet Usage: Installation and Configuration Guide" (SMU), Art of record.

4. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-11, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "substantial" in claims 10, 17 and 20 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantial" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree.

The term "in proximity" in claim 11 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

The term " in proximity " is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

With regard to the interpretation of these claims, the examiner is interpreting them as if without these terms.

Art Unit: 2122

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by "Smart Internet Usage: Installation and Configuration Guide" (SIU), Art of record.

As per claim 1, SIU discloses

a software object creation process providing guidance to a user with respect to creation of a software object by said software object creation process (pp. 15-16, "4.1: using the collector creation wizard");

and an information communication link to a software object editor, said link providing information with respect to said software object created by said software object creation process to facilitate operation of said software object editor with respect to said software object (pp. 16-17, "4.1.1: Contact configuration server and select collector" and pp. 51-52, "8.1.2 How IOR files enable communication between SIU components").

As per claim 2, SIU discloses

wherein said software object creation process comprises a software wizard (pp. 15-16, "4.1: using the collector creation wizard").

Art Unit: 2122

As per claim 3, SIU discloses

wherein said software object comprises a data collector (p. 17, "4.1.2: "Collector Type" and p. 34, "5.2: "instantiating a collector").

As per claim 4, SIU discloses

wherein said software object comprises a plurality of selectable attributes for which said software object creation process facilitates selection of by said user (pp. 35-36, "5.3:

Configuring a collector").

As per claim 5, SIU discloses

wherein said selectable attributes are configurable by said software object editor (pp. 35-36, "5.3: Configuring a collector").

As per claim 6, SIU discloses

wherein said selectable attributes must be configured by said software object editor prior to proper operation of said software object (p. 36, "5.4: Running collectors in the background").

As per claim 7, SIU discloses

a software object configuration option process providing said user with information with respect to configuration of said software object (pp. 29-30, "4.2." Using the configuration and Admin GUI").

Art Unit: 2122

As per claim 8, SIU discloses

wherein said software object configuration option provides selectable activation of said information communication link (pp. 16-17, "4.1.1: Contact configuration server and select collector" and pp. 51-52, "8.1.2 How IOR files enable communication between SIU components").

As per claim 9, SIU discloses

wherein said software object configuration option process further provides selectable repeating of said software object creation process (pp. 16-17, "4.1.1. contact configuration server and select collector").

As per claim 10, SIU discloses

wherein said information communication link is established after completion of said software object creation process (pp. 50-52, "8.1.1. Managing the various forms of the config store and 8.1.2. How IOR files enable communication between SIU components").

As per claim 11, SIU discloses

wherein said information communication link is established in proximity to selection of a software object attribute for which said software object editor provides configuration editing (pp. 50-52, "8.1.1. Managing the various forms of the config store and 8.1.2. How IOR files enable communication between SIU components").

Art Unit: 2122

As per claim 12, SIU discloses providing guidance to a user with respect to selection of attributes of a software object (pp. 15-16, "4.1: using the collector creation wizard");

providing information to said user with respect to configuring selected ones of said attributes of said software object through use of a user interface tool providing said guidance to said user (pp. 15-16, "4.1: using the collector creation wizard");

providing information with respect to said software object to a software object editor to facilitate operation of said software object editor for configuring said selected ones of said attributes of said software object (pp. 16-17, "4.1.1: Contact configuration server and select collector" and pp. 51-52, "8.1.2 How IOR files enable communication between SIU components").

Claim 13 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 4.

Claim 14 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 3.

Claim 15 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 6.

Claim 16 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 8.

Claim 17 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 10.

Claim 18 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 11.

Claim 19 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claim 9.

Claim 20 is rejected for the reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1-11.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 8/17/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2122

Applicant's argument

1) The rejections to claims 10-11, 17 and 20 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph are

improper because the terms "substantial" and "in proximity" are clear in view of the

specification on p. 12, last paragraph and p. 15, second paragraph.

Examiner's response

1) Examiner disagrees with applicant's assertion that the terms "substantial" and "in

proximity" are clear in view of the specification on p. 12, last paragraph and p. 15, second

paragraph. The last paragraph of p. 12 and second paragraph of p. 15 does not provide a clear

definition of the term "substantial" and "in proximity" as recited in the claims. Therefore, the

rejections is maintained.

Applicant's argument

2) SIU does not teach "an information communication link to a software object editor, said

link providing information with respect to said software object created by said software object

creation process".

Examiner's response

2) SIU clearly disclose an information communication link to a software object editor, said

link providing information with respect to said software object created by said software object

creation process(pp. 16-17, "4.1.1: Contact configuration server and select collector", note that

the wizard (the software object editor) must be able to contact (communicate) the SIU

configuration server in order to read the component configuration from it and to store the new

Art Unit: 2122

collector configuration on it. and pp. 51-52, "8.1.2 How IOR files enable communication between SIU components").

Applicant's argument

3) SIU does not teach "providing information to said user with respect to configuring selected ones of said attributes of said software object through use of a user interface tool providing said guidance to said user." as recited in claim 12.

Examiner's response

3) SIU clearly discloses "providing information to said user with respect to configuring selected ones of said attributes of said software object through use of a user interface tool providing said guidance to said user" (pp. 15-16, "4.1: using the collector creation wizard").

Applicant's argument

4) Claim 20 contains limitations not found in claims 1-11, such as, for example, "a data collector configuration option process operable after completion of said data collector creation process." The rejection does not address, at least, the above limitation.

Examiner's response

4) SIU clearly disclose the above limitations, note that the data collector configuration option process includes a user selectable option to establish a communication link with editor process. This limitation is taught by SIU (See rejection of claim 4 wherein said software object comprises a plurality of selectable attributes for which said software object creation process

Art Unit: 2122

facilitates selection of by said user (pp. 35-36, "5.3: Configuring a collector"), note that the data collector configuration option is inherently operable for the user selection).

Applicant's argument

- 5) SIU does not teach "said data collector configuration option process also includes a user selectable option to repeat operation of said data collector creation process" in claim 20.
- 5) SIU clearly disclose "data collector configuration option process also includes a user selectable option to repeat operation of said data collector creation process" (see rejection to claim 9 above or pp. 16-17, "4.1.1. contact configuration server and select collector", the "previous" option).

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2122

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Wei Y Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3708. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wei Zhen

Primary Examiner

12/9/2004

N- 7-

Page 10

PRIMARY EXAMINER